VZCZCXRO2379 RR RUEHMR DE RUEHSB #0007/01 0041338 ZNY CCCCC ZZH R 041338Z JAN 06 FM AMEMBASSY HARARE TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC 9400 INFO RUCNSAD/SOUTHERN AFRICAN DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY RUEHUJA/AMEMBASSY ABUJA 1018 RUEHAR/AMEMBASSY ACCRA 0853 RUEHDS/AMEMBASSY ADDIS ABABA 1037 RUEHBY/AMEMBASSY CANBERRA 0297 RUEHDK/AMEMBASSY DAKAR 0660 RUEHKM/AMEMBASSY KAMPALA 1092 RUEHNR/AMEMBASSY NAIROBI 3413 RUEHFR/AMEMBASSY PARIS 0851 RUEHRO/AMEMBASSY ROME 1484 RUEKJCS/JOINT STAFF WASHDC RUFGNOA/HQ USEUCOM VAIHINGEN GE RUFOADA/JAC MOLESWORTH RAF MOLESWORTH UK RUEKDIA/DIA WASHDC RHEHNSC/NSC WASHDC RUEHBS/USEU BRUSSELS RUCNDT/USMISSION USUN NEW YORK 1233

C O N F I D E N T I A L SECTION 01 OF 02 HARARE 000007

SIPDIS

SIPDIS

AF/S FOR B. NEULING
USUN FOR S. MOFFAT
SENIOR AFRICA DIRECTOR C. COURVILLE
STATE PASS USAID FOR M. COPSON, E. LOKEN

E.O. 12958: DECL: 01/04/2015 TAGS: <u>EAID PHUM PGOV PREL ZI</u>

SUBJECT: DESPITE GOZ RHETORIC, COOPERATION WITH UN ONGOING

REF: (A) 2005 USUN 2887 (B) 2005 HARARE 1728 (C) 2005

HARARE 1699 (D) 2005 HARARE 1681 (E) 2005 HARARE 1663

Classified By: Deputy Chief of Mission Eric T. Schultz under Section 1. 4 b/d

Summary

11. (C) In the month since UN Under Secretary General for Humanitarian Affairs and Emergency Relief Coordinator Jan Egeland visited Zimbabwe, the GOZ has continued its vitriolic attacks against the envoy and the UN, especially over the issue of shelter for the victims of Operation Murambatsvina. UN contacts, however, report that the GOZ,s public attacks have not impeded their operations or the government,s low-level cooperation. End Summary.

Public Attacks on UN

12. (SBU) Since Egeland concluded his visit to Zimbabwe on December 7 (Ref E), the GOZ has wasted no opportunity to publicly criticize the UN Envoy for his unvarnished assessment of the local humanitarian situation and especially for his public endorsement of Special Envoy Tibaijuka,s scathing report on Operation Murambatsvina. Following President Mugabe's lambasting of Egeland at the ZANU-PF annual national conference on December 9 (Ref D), the UN envoy,s briefing to the UNSC on December 19 (Ref A) prompted a second wave a recrimination, with one government-controlled newspaper saying that Egeland was &spoiling for a fight.8

13. (C) The GOZ,s attacks on the UN continue to center on

the issue of shelter for Murambatsvina,s victims. The UN and donors have rejected the government,s efforts to get them to build permanent housing, which they fear would go to government supporters rather than the real victims. For its part, the GOZ has rejected the UN and donor offers of tents as temporary shelters. A compromise appeared to have been worked out during Egeland,s visit to build &core8 structure that recipients could add on to at a later date. However, on December 20, Local Government Minister Chombo condemned and ordered the demolition of a model one-room house built at Hopely Farm by the UN and the International Organization for Migration (IOM). Chombo claimed that the model ) which UN and IOM sources confirmed had been designed jointly by the UN and the GOZ ) was &below human dignity8 and had been guided by a &this-is-good-for-the-African attitude.8

But Continued Cooperation

¶4. (C) According to UN and IOM sources, GOZ attacks have been designed for public consumption and have not ended cooperation. IOM program development officer Diane Epstein, who worked on the model shelter at Hopely Farm, told poloff on January 3 that the government,s engagement with humanitarian groups and donors on the issue of shelter has always been rocky. She characterized Chombo,s outburst as nothing new and said that despite the criticism of the shelter at Hopely Farm, IOM and the GOZ were proceeding with preparations for similar temporary shelters at a site near Mutare. Along the same lines, WFP contacts have told us that despite the signing of an MOU governing food assistance and

HARARE 00000007 002 OF 002

recommencement of large-scale food distribution in the country (refs B, C), their relationship with the GOZ also remains difficult.

Comment

15. (C) There are clearly elements within the GOZ that acknowledge the growing humanitarian crisis and want international assistance, but they are constrained by their political leadership. As with the Ambassador, November speech, the attacks on Egeland highlight the leadership,s knee jerk response to any international criticism, however muted: to lash out against the messenger as an imperialist &stooge.8 It is in this context that the UN is attempting, with some limited success, to establish a dialogue with the GOZ and to encourage cooperation on humanitarian issues. The UN is to be commended for its perseverance in this difficult but necessary task ) as many as 4 million Zimbabweans are already food insecure and tens of thousands remain without shelter. The next major step in this process, the proposed visit by UN Under Secretary General for Political Affairs Ibrahim Gambari, promises to be equally fraught. Local UN officials tell us the GOZ deeply distrusts Gambari and views him as sympathetic to its Western critics. DELL